arXiv:1404.6425v1 [math.AP] 25 Apr 2014

Regularity for weak solutions to nondiagonal quasilinear degenerate elliptic systems

Yan Dong, Pengcheng Niu *

Abstract. The aim of this paper is to establish regularity for weak solutions to the nondiagonal quasilinear degenerate elliptic systems related to Hörmander's vector fields, where the coefficients are bounded with vanishing mean oscillation. We first prove $L^p (p \geq 2)$ estimates for gradients of weak solutions by using a priori estimates and a known reverse Hölder inequality, and consider regularity to the corresponding nondiagonal homogeneous degenerate elliptic systems. Then we get higher Morrey and Campanato estimates for gradients of weak solutions to original systems and Hölder estimates for weak solutions.

Key words: nondiagonal quasilinear degenerate elliptic system, Hörmander's vector fields, L^p estimate, Morrey estimate, Campanato estimate, Hölder estimate

MSC (2000): 35J48, 35D30

1 Introduction

Regularity for solutions to elliptic systems in Euclidean spaces has been studied by many authors and a lot of important conclusions were got. Campanato in [2] obtained gradient estimates for weak solutions to linear elliptic system with discontinuous coefficients. For related articles, we quote [1, 14] and the references therein.

Huang in [18] derived Morrey estimates for uniformly elliptic systems by applying Campanato's technique. Zheng and Feng in [28] established Hölder estimates for weak solutions to quasilinear elliptic systems by reverse Hölder inequality and Dirichlet growth theorem, where the coefficients belong to $L^\infty \cap VMO$, and low terms satisfy controlled

*Pengcheng Niu: Corresponding author, Department of Applied Mathematics, Northwestern Polytechnical University, Xi'an, Shaanxi, 710129, China. e-mail: pengchengniu@nwpu.edu.cn

growth conditions. For the following second order quasilinear elliptic systems

$$-D_\alpha a_i^\alpha(x,u,Du)=a_i(x,u,Du),$$

where $a_i^\alpha(x,u,Du)=A_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x)D_\beta u^j+g_i^\alpha(x,u,Du)$, $A_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}\in C^{0,\alpha}$, Daněček in [4] proved Morrey and Campanato estimates with $p=2$ for weak solutions. When the coefficients $A_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}$ belongs to $L^\infty(\Omega)\cap\mathcal{L}_\phi(\Omega)$ (where $\phi=\frac{1}{1+|\ln r|}$), $A_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}$ belongs to $VMO(\Omega)\cap L^\infty(\Omega)$, or $A_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}$ is bounded and belongs to Campanato spaces, Daněček and Viszus in [5, 6, 7] gave similar estimates. Chiarenza, Franciosi and Frasca ([3]) obtained L^p estimate for weak solutions to divergence linear elliptic systems by representation formula.

To nondiagonal elliptic systems, Kawohl in [19] investigated Hölder continuity for bounded weak solutions to qualilinear elliptic systems if the Liouville type property for these systems is satisfied. Wiegner in [25] gained Hölder regularity for weak solutions to nondiagonal systems with low terms satisfying natural conditions. More related results also see [10, 15, 21, 22, 24, 30] and the references therein.

Regularity of degenerate elliptic systems formed by Hörmander's vector fields ([17]) has received wide attention in recent years. Di Fazio and Fanciullo in [8] proved Morrey estimates ($p=2$) for weak solutions to linear degenerate elliptic systems. Dong and Niu in [9] showed Morrey estimates ($p\geq 2$) for linear degenerate elliptic systems. For nonlinear systems, Xu and Zuily in [26] handled interior regularity of weak solutions to quasilinear degenerate elliptic systems with the low term satisfying the natural condition. Gao, Niu and Wang in [11] settled partial Hölder regularity for weak solutions to degenerate quasilinear elliptic systems with the coefficients belonging to $VMO\cap L^\infty$ and the low term satisfying the natural condition. We mention that those systems in [8, 9, 11, 26] are all diagonal.

To our knowledge, there is no any regularity result to nondiagonal degenerate elliptic systems. Whether do they have regularity? What is the kind of regularity if they have? These are what we will answer in this paper. Concretely, we consider the following nondiagonal quasilinear degenerate elliptic system

$$-X_\alpha^*(a_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x,u)X_\beta u^j)=g_i(x,u,Xu)-X_\alpha^* f_i^\alpha(x,u,Xu), \tag{1.1}$$

where $X_\alpha=\sum\limits_{k=1}^n b_{\alpha k}(x)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}$ ($b_{\alpha k}(x)\in C^\infty(\Omega)$) are real smooth vector fields in a neighborthood $\tilde{\Omega}$ of some bounded domain $\Omega\subset\mathbb{R}^n$ ($q\leq n$) and satisfy Hörmander's condition of step s (see Section 2), $\alpha,\beta=1,2,\ldots,q;i,j=1,2,\ldots,N;X_\alpha^*=-X_\alpha+c_\alpha(c_\alpha=-\sum\limits_{k=1}^n\frac{\partial b_{\alpha k}}{\partial x_k}\in C^\infty(\Omega))$ is the transposed vector field of X_α.

The aim is to establish higher integrability of gradients for weak solutions to (1.1), higher Morrey estimates, Hölder estimates and higher Campanato estimate.

Before stating our main results, we need several assumptions of (1.1) (the detailed description for notions of Sobolev space $W_X^{k,p}$, Morrey space $L_X^{p,\lambda}$, Campanato space $\mathcal{L}_X^{p,\lambda}$, Hölder space $C_X^{0,\kappa}$, BMO_X and VMO_X sees Section 2):

(H1) Let $a_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x,u) = A^{\alpha\beta}(x)\delta_{ij} + B_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x,u)$, where $A^{\alpha\beta}(x) \in VMO_X \cap L^\infty$, $A^{\alpha\beta}(x) = A^{\beta\alpha}(x)$, $A^{\alpha\beta}(x)$ satisfy the ellipticity condition and $B_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x,u)$ are bounded and measurable, that is, there exist positive constants $\lambda_0, \mu_0, \delta, 0 < \lambda_0 \le \mu_0, 0 < \delta < 1$, such that for $a.e.$ $x \in \Omega$ and for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{qN}$,

$$\lim_{R\to 0} \eta_R\left(A^{\alpha\beta}(x)\right) = 0,$$

$$\lambda_0 |\xi|^2 \le A^{\alpha\beta}(x)\xi_\alpha\xi_\beta \le \mu_0 |\xi|^2,$$

$$\left|B_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x,u)\right| \le \delta\lambda_0.$$

(H2) Let $u \in W_X^{1,2}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^N)$, $g_i(x,u,z)$ and $f_i^\alpha(x,u,z)$ satisfy

$$|g_i(x,u,z)| \le g^i(x) + L|z|^{\gamma_0},$$

$$|f_i^\alpha(x,u,z)| \le g_i^\alpha(x) + L|z|,$$

$$f_i^\alpha(x,u,z)z_\alpha^i \ge \gamma_1|z|^2 - (g(x))^2,$$

where L and γ_1 are positive constants, $z \in \mathbb{R}^{qN}$, $g^i \in L_X^{pq_0,\lambda q_0}(\Omega), 1 \le \gamma_0 < \frac{Q+2}{Q}$, g_i^α and $g \in L_X^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)$, $p \ge 2, 0 < \lambda < Q, q_0 = \frac{Q}{Q+2}$, Q is the local homogeneous dimension relative to Ω (see Section 2). Afterwards, we briefly denote $\tilde{g} = (g^i), \tilde{\tilde{g}} = (g_i^\alpha)$.

If $u \in W_X^{1,2}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^N)$ and for any $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^N)$,

$$-\int_\Omega a_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x,u)X_\beta u^j X_\alpha\varphi^j dx = \int_\Omega \left(g_i(x,u,Xu)\varphi^i - f_i^\alpha(x,u,Xu)X_\alpha\varphi^i\right)dx,$$

we say that u is a weak solution to (1.1).

The main results of this paper are the following.

Theorem 1.1 (higher integrability of gradients for weak solutions) Let $u \in W_X^{1,2}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^N)$ be a weak solution to (1.1), the coefficients $a_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}$ satisfy (H1), g_i and f_i^α satisfy (H2). Then there exists a positive constant $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for any $p \in \left[2, 2+\frac{2Q}{Q+2}\varepsilon_0\right)$, $\Omega' \subset\subset \Omega$,

$$\|Xu\|_{L^p(\Omega')} \le c\left(\|g\|_{L_X^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)} + \left\|\tilde{\tilde{g}}\right\|_{L_X^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)} + \|\tilde{g}\|_{L_X^{pq_0,\lambda q_0}(\Omega)}\right).$$

Theorem 1.2 (higher Morrey estimates of gradients for weak solutions) Under the assumptions in Theorem 1.1, we have that for any $p \in \left[2, 2+\frac{2Q}{Q+2}\varepsilon_0\right)$,

$$Xu \in L_{X,loc}^{p,\lambda}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Theorem 1.3 (Hölder estimate for weak solutions) Under the assumptions in Theorem 1.1, it follows that for any $p \in \left[2, 2+\frac{2Q}{Q+2}\varepsilon_0\right)$, $Q-p<\lambda<Q$, one has

$$u \in C^{0,\kappa}_{X,loc}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N), \quad \kappa = 1 - \frac{Q-\lambda}{p}.$$

Furthermore, we make the following assumption:

(H3) Let $u \in W^{1,2}_X(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$, $g_i(x,u,z)$ and $f^\alpha_i(x,u,z)$ satisfy

$$|g_i(x,u,z)| \le g^i(x) + L\,|z|^{\gamma_0},$$

$$|f^\alpha_i(x,u,z_1) - f^\alpha_i(y,v,z_2)| \le L\left(|g^\alpha_i(x) - g^\alpha_i(y)| + |z_1 - z_2|\right),$$

$$f^\alpha_i(x,u,z) z^i_\alpha \ge \gamma_1 |z|^2 - (g(x))^2,$$

where $x, y \in \Omega$, $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{qN}$, the selections of $\gamma_0, L, \gamma_1, g^i$, g^α_i and g, are the same as (H2).

Theorem 1.4 (Campanato estimates of gradients for weak solutions) Let $u \in W^{1,2}_X(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ be a weak solution to (1.1), the coefficients $a^{\alpha\beta}_{ij}$ satisfy (H1), g_i and f^α_i satisfy (H3). Then for any $p \in \left[2, 2+\frac{2Q}{Q+2}\varepsilon_0\right)$, we have

$$Xu \in \mathcal{L}^{p,\lambda}_{X,loc}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N).$$

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is based on a priori estimates for weak solutions to (1.1) and the reverse Hölder inequality in [13, 27]. In proving Theorem 1.2, several different ways are attempted and an effective route is the decomposition of (1.1) into a nondiagonal homogeneous system and a nondiagonal nonhomogeneous system. To treat two systems, we discuss regularity to the homogeneous system corresponding to (1.1):

$$-X^*_\alpha(a^{\alpha\beta}_{ij}(x,u) X_\beta u^j) = 0. \tag{1.2}$$

With the help of analysis to (1.2), we can confirm Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.4 under (H2) and (H3), respectively.

Authors in [19, 25] obtained Hölder regularity for weak solutions to elliptic systems by employing Liouville theorem. Differently from this, we prove Theorem 1.3 by combining Morrey estimates given in Theorem 1.2 and a Morrey lemma in [29].

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we introduce Hörmander's vector fields, the Carnot-Carathéodory distance and some related function spaces, and then recall corresponding Sobolev-Poincaré inequality and Morrey Lemma. In section 3, we prove

Theorem 1.1 by choosing appropriate text functions and then using a priori estimates argument for weak solutions of (1.1) and the reverse Hölder inequality. Section 4 is devoted to the study of nondiagonal homogeneous degenerate elliptic system (1.2). Through dividing (1.2) into two systems which are a constant coefficients diagonal homogeneous system and a constant coefficients diagonal nonhomogeneous system, we establish relations between L^p estimates over balls for gradients of weak solutions to (1.2), see Theorems 4.1 and 4.2. In section 5, we first divide (1.1) into a nondiagonal homogeneous system (5.1) and a nondiagonal nonhomogeneous system (5.2), and then prove Theorem 1.2 by applying conclusions in sections 3 and 4, and the iteration lemma. The proof of Theorem 1.3 is given by using Theorem 1.2 and the known Morrey lemma. After deducing a priori estimates for weak solutions to (5.2), we finally complete the proof of Theorem 1.4.

2 Preliminaries

For every multi-index $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_d)(1 \leq \beta_i \leq q, i = 1, \ldots, d, |\beta| = d)$, we call that d is the length of the commutator $X_\beta = \left[X_{\beta_d}, \left[X_{\beta_{d-1}}, \ldots \left[X_{\beta_2}, \ldots X_{\beta_1}\right]\right]\right]$.

Definition 2.1 Let $X_1, \ldots, X_q$ be smooth vector fields. If $\{X_\beta(x_0)\}_{|\beta| \leq s}$ spans $\mathbb{R}^n$ at every $x_0 \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, then we say that the system $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_q)$ satisfies Hörmander's condition of step s.

By [26], we can assume that Hörmander's vector fields $X_1, \ldots, X_q$ are free up to the order s.

Definition 2.2 (Carnot-Carathéodory distance) Let Ω be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$. An absolutely continuous curve $\gamma : [0, T] \to \Omega$ is called a sub-unit curve with respect to the system $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_q)$, if $\gamma'(t)$ exists for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$ and satisfies

$$< \gamma'(t), \xi >^2 \leq \sum_{j=1}^{q} < X_j(\gamma(t)), \xi >^2, \quad \text{for any } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

We denote the length of this curve by $l_S(\gamma) = T$. Given any $x, y \in \Omega$, let $\Phi(x, y)$ be the collection of all sub-unit curves connecting x and y, and define the Carnot–Carathéodory distance induced by X by

$$d_{\mathrm{X}}(x, y) = \inf\{l_S(\gamma) : \gamma \in \Phi(x, y)\}.$$

With this distance, we denote a metric ball of radius R centered at x_0 by

$$B_R(x) = B(x, R) = \{y \in \Omega : d(x, y) < R\}.$$

If one does not need to consider the center of ball, then we also write B_R instead of $B(x,R)$.

It is well known that the doubling property for metric balls (see [23]) holds true, i.e., there exist positive constants c_D and R_D, such that for any $x_0 \in \Omega$, $0 < 2R < R_D$, $B_{2R} \subset \Omega$,

$$|B(x_0, 2R)| \leq c_D \, |B(x_0, R)| \, .$$

So $B_R(x)$ is a homogeneous space ([13]). Furthermore, it follows that for any $R \leq R_D$ and $t \in (0,1)$,

$$|B_{tR}| \geq c_D^{-1} t^Q \, |B_R| \, .$$

The number $Q = \log_2 c_D$ is called a locally homogeneous dimension relative to Ω. We can assume by [23] that there exist two positive constants c_1 and c_2, such that

$$c_1 R^Q \leq |B_R| \leq c_2 R^Q. \tag{2.1}$$

Definition 2.3 (Sobolev space) Let $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$, k be a positive integer. If $u \in L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ satisfies

$$\|u\|_{W_X^{k,p}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^N)} \equiv \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^N)} + \sum_{h=1}^{k} \sum_{j_h=1}^{q} \|X_{j_1} X_{j_2} \dots X_{j_h} u\|_{L^p(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^N)} < +\infty,$$

then we say that u belongs to the Sobolev space $W_X^{k,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$.

Remark: The space $W_{X,0}^{k,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ is the closure of $C_0^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ in $W_X^{k,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ with respect to the norm $\|u\|_{W_X^{k,p}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^N)}$.

Denote by d_0 the diameter of Ω.

Definition 2.4 (Morrey space) Let $p \geq 1, \lambda \geq 0, u \in L^p_{loc}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$, if

$$\|u\|_{L_X^{p,\lambda}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^N)} \equiv \sup_{x_0 \in \Omega, 0<R<d_0} \left(\frac{1}{R^\lambda} \int_{\Omega \cap B(x_0,R)} |u(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < +\infty,$$

then u is said to belong to the Morrey space $L_X^{p,\lambda}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$.

Definition 2.5 (Campanato space) Let $p \geq 1, \lambda \geq 0, u \in L^p_{loc}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$, if

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{L}_X^{p,\lambda}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^N)} \equiv \sup_{x_0 \in \Omega, 0<R<d_0} \left(\frac{1}{R^\lambda} \int_{\Omega \cap B(x_0,R)} |u(x) - u_{B_R}|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < +\infty,$$

where $u_{B_R} = \frac{1}{|B(x_0,R)|} \int_{B(x_0,R)} u(x) dx$, then we say that u is in the Campanato space $\mathcal{L}_X^{p,\lambda}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$.

Definition 2.6 (Hölder space) Let $\kappa \in (0,1]$. The Hölder space $C_X^{0,\kappa}(\bar{\Omega},\mathbb{R}^N)$ is the set of functions satisfying

$$\|u\|_{C_X^{0,\kappa}(\bar{\Omega},\mathbb{R}^N)} \equiv \sup_{\Omega}|u| + \sup_{\bar{\Omega}} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|}{[d(x,y)]^\kappa} < +\infty.$$

Definition 2.7 (BMO_X and VMO_X spaces) Let $u \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^N)$. If

$$\|u\|_{BMO_X(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^N)} \equiv \sup_{x_0\in\Omega, 0<R<d_0} \frac{1}{|\Omega\cap B(x_0,R)|}\int_{\Omega\cap B(x_0,R)} |u(x)-u_{B_R}|dx < +\infty,$$

then we say that $u \in BMO_X(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^N)$(Bounded Mean Oscillation). If $u \in BMO_X(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^N)$ and

$$\eta_R(u) = \sup_{x_0\in\Omega, 0<\rho<R} \frac{1}{|\Omega\cap B(x_0,\rho)|}\int_{\Omega\cap B(x_0,\rho)} \big|u(x)-u_{B_\rho}\big|dx \to 0, \quad R\to 0,$$

then we say that $u \in VMO_X(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^N)$(Vanishing Mean Oscillation).

Lemma 2.8 (see [16]) Let $H(\rho)$ be a nonnegative increasing function, and for any $0<\rho<R\le R_0 = dist(x_0,\partial\Omega)$,

$$H(\rho) \le A\left[\left(\frac{\rho}{R}\right)^a + \varepsilon\right]H(R) + BR^b,$$

where A, a and b are nonnegative constants with $a > b$. Then there exist positive constants $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_1(A,a,b)$ and $c = c(A,a,b)$, such that for any $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_1$, it follows

$$H(\rho) \le c\left[\left(\frac{\rho}{R}\right)^b H(R) + B\rho^b\right].$$

Lemma 2.9 (Sobolev–Poincaré inequality, see [12] and [20]) For any open domain Ω', $\bar{\Omega}' \subset\subset \Omega$, there exist positive constants R_0 and c, such that for any $0<R\le R_0$, $B_R\subset\Omega$ and $u \in C^\infty(\overline{B_R})$, it holds

$$\left(\frac{1}{|B_R|}\int_{B_R}|u-u_R|^{p'}dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \le cR\left(\frac{1}{|B_R|}\int_{B_R}|Xu|^p dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \tag{2.2}$$

where $1<p<Q, 1\le p'\le \frac{pQ}{Q-p}, u_R = \frac{1}{|B_R|}\int_{B_R}u(x)dx, R_0$ and c depend on Ω' and Ω. In particular, if $u \in C_0^\infty(\overline{B_R})$, then

$$\left(\frac{1}{|B_R|}\int_{B_R}|u|^{p'}dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \le cR\left(\frac{1}{|B_R|}\int_{B_R}|Xu|^p dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \tag{2.3}$$

Lemma 2.10 (Morrey lemma, see [29]) Let $u \in W_X^{1,p}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^N)(p>1)$ and for any $B_R\subset\subset\Omega$, there exists a constant $\kappa\in(0,1)$, such that

$$\int_{B_R}|Xu|^p dx \le cR^{Q-p+p\kappa}.$$

Then $u \in C_X^{0,\kappa}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^N)$.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

The following result is valid to the homogeneous space.

Lemma 3.1 (reverse Hölder inequality, see [13, 27]) Let $\hat{g}, \hat{f} \geq 0$ satisfy

$$\hat{g} \in L^{\hat{q}}(\Omega)(\hat{q} > 1), \hat{f} \in L^{q'}(\Omega)(q' > \hat{q}).$$

Fix a ball $B_{R_0} = B(x_0, R_0)$ and assume that for any $x \in B_{R_0}$ and $R < \frac{1}{2} dist(x, \partial B_{R_0})$, there exist constants $b > 1$ and $\theta \in [0, 1)$, such that

$$\frac{1}{|B_R|}\int_{B_R} \hat{g}^{\hat{q}} dx \leq b\left[\left(\frac{1}{|B_{4R/3}|}\int_{B_{4R/3}} \hat{g} dx\right)^{\hat{q}} + \frac{1}{|B_{4R/3}|}\int_{B_{4R/3}} \hat{f}^{\hat{q}} dx\right] + \frac{\theta}{|B_{4R/3}|}\int_{B_{4R/3}} \hat{g}^{\hat{q}} dx.$$

Then there exist constants $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and $c > 0$ such that for any $r \in [\hat{q}, \hat{q} + \varepsilon_0)$, it yields $\hat{g} \in L^r_{loc}(B_{R_0})$. Moreover, we have that for any $B_{2R} \subset\subset \Omega$,

$$\left(\frac{1}{|B_R|}\int_{B_R} \hat{g}^r dx\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq c\left[\left(\frac{1}{|B_{2R}|}\int_{B_{2R}} \hat{g}^{\hat{q}} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{\hat{q}}} + \left(\frac{1}{|B_{2R}|}\int_{B_{2R}} \hat{f}^r dx\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}\right],$$

where c and ε_0 are positive constants depending only on $b, \theta, \hat{q}$, and q'.

Lemma 3.2 Let the coefficients $a_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}$ in (1.1) satisfy (H1), functions g_i and f_i^α satisfy (H2). If $u \in W_X^{1,2}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ is a weak solution to (1.1), then for any $p \in \left[2, 2 + \frac{2Q}{Q+2}\varepsilon_0\right)$, where ε_0 is in Lemma 3.1, $B_{2R} \subset\subset \Omega$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{1}{|B_R|}\int_{B_R} |Xu|^p dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} &\leq c\left(\frac{1}{|B_{2R}|}\int_{B_{2R}} |Xu|^2 dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &+ c\left[\left(\frac{1}{|B_{2R}|}\int_{B_{2R}} \left(|g|^p + \left|\tilde{\tilde{g}}\right|^p\right) dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + R\left(\frac{1}{|B_{2R}|}\int_{B_{2R}} |\tilde{g}|^{pq_0} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{pq_0}}\right]. \quad (3.1)\end{aligned}$$

Proof: We will prove (3.1) with two steps.

Step 1. Let a cut-off function $\eta \in C_0^\infty(B_R)$ satisfy that for any $0 < \rho < R$,

$$0 \leq \eta \leq 1(\text{ in } B_R), \quad \eta = 1(\text{ in } B_\rho), \quad |X\eta| \leq \frac{c}{R - \rho}.$$

Multiplying both sides of (1.1) by the test function $\varphi = (u - u_{B_R})\eta^2$ and integrating on B_R, it yields by (H1) that

$$\begin{aligned}&-\int_{B_R} A^{\alpha\beta}\delta_{ij}\eta^2 X_\beta u^j X_\alpha u^i dx + \int_{B_R} \eta^2 f_i^\alpha X_\alpha u^i dx \\ &= \int_{B_R} B_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}\eta^2 X_\beta u^j X_\alpha u^i dx + \int_{B_R} 2a_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x, u)\eta(u^i - u_{B_R}) X_\beta u^j X_\alpha \eta dx \\ &+ \int_{B_R} \left(g_i(u^i - u_{B_R})\eta^2 - 2\eta(u^i - u_{B_R}) f_i^\alpha X_\alpha \eta\right) dx. \quad (3.2)\end{aligned}$$

It shows by (H2), (2.2), Hölder's inequality and Young's inequality that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B_R} |g_i| \left|u^i - u_R\right| \eta^2 dx \le \int_{B_R} \left(g^i + L\left|Xu\right|^{\gamma_0}\right) \left|u^i - u_R\right| dx \\
&\le \left(\int_{B_R} |\tilde{g}|^{\frac{2Q}{Q+2}} dx\right)^{\frac{Q+2}{2Q}} \left(\int_{B_R} |u-u_R|^{\frac{2Q}{Q-2}} dx\right)^{\frac{Q-2}{2Q}} \\
&\quad + L\left(\int_{B_R} |Xu|^2 dx\right)^{\frac{\gamma_0}{2}} \left(\int_{B_R} |u-u_R|^{\frac{2}{2-\gamma_0}} dx\right)^{\frac{2-\gamma_0}{2}} \\
&\le \left(\int_{B_R} |\tilde{g}|^{2q_0} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2q_0}} \left(\int_{B_R} |Xu|^2 dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\quad + L\left(\int_{B_R} |Xu|^2 dx\right)^{\frac{\gamma_0}{2}} cR\left|B_R\right|^{\frac{2-\gamma_0}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{|B_R|}\int_{B_R} |Xu|^2 dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\le c_\varepsilon \left(\int_{B_R} |\tilde{g}|^{2q_0} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{q_0}} + \varepsilon \int_{B_R} |Xu|^2 dx + cR^{\frac{Q+2-Q\gamma_0}{2}} \left(\int_{B_R} |Xu|^2 dx\right)^{\frac{1+\gamma_0}{2}} \\
&\le c_\varepsilon \left(\int_{B_R} |\tilde{g}|^{2q_0} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{q_0}} + \left(\varepsilon + cR^{\frac{Q+2-Q\gamma_0}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |Xu|^2 dx\right)^{\frac{\gamma_0-1}{2}}\right) \int_{B_R} |Xu|^2 dx \\
&\le c_\varepsilon \left(\int_{B_R} |\tilde{g}|^{2q_0} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{q_0}} + \left(\varepsilon + cR^{\frac{Q+2-Q\gamma_0}{2}}\right) \int_{B_R} |Xu|^2 dx. \qquad (3.3)
\end{aligned}
$$

Also,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B_R} \eta \left|u^i - u_R\right| \left|f_i^\alpha\right| \left|X_\alpha \eta\right| dx \\
&\le \int_{B_R} \eta \left|u^i - u_R\right| \left(g_i^\alpha + L\left|X_\alpha u^i\right|\right) \left|X_\alpha \eta\right| dx \\
&\le c_\varepsilon \int_{B_R} |u-u_R|^2 |X\eta|^2 dx + c_\varepsilon \int_{B_R} \eta^2 \left|\tilde{g}\right|^2 dx + \varepsilon \int_{B_R} \eta^2 |Xu|^2 dx. \qquad (3.4)
\end{aligned}
$$

Inserting (3.3) and (3.4) into (3.2), and noting (H1) and (H2), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\lambda_0 \textstyle\int_{B_R} \eta^2 |Xu|^2 dx + \gamma_1 \int_{B_R} \eta^2 |Xu|^2 dx - \int_{B_R} \eta^2 |g|^2 dx \\
&\le \delta\lambda_0 \textstyle\int_{B_R} \eta^2 |Xu|^2 dx + c_\varepsilon \int_{B_R} |u-u_R|^2 |X\eta|^2 dx + 2\varepsilon \int_{B_R} \eta^2 |Xu|^2 dx \\
&\quad + c_\varepsilon \textstyle\int_{B_R} \eta^2 \left|\tilde{\tilde{g}}\right|^2 dx + c_\varepsilon \left(\int_{B_R} |\tilde{g}|^{2q_0} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{q_0}} + \left(\varepsilon + cR^{\frac{Q+2-Q\gamma_0}{2}}\right) \int_{B_R} |Xu|^2 dx.
\end{aligned}
$$

By properties on η,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(\lambda_0 + \gamma_1 - 2\varepsilon - \delta\lambda_0\right) \textstyle\int_{B_\rho} |Xu|^2 dx \\
&\le \frac{c_\varepsilon}{(R-\rho)^2} \textstyle\int_{B_R} |u-u_R|^2 dx + c_\varepsilon \int_{B_R} \left(|g|^2 + \left|\tilde{\tilde{g}}\right|^2\right) dx \\
&\quad + c_\varepsilon \left(\textstyle\int_{B_R} |\tilde{g}|^{2q_0} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{q_0}} + \left(\varepsilon + cR^{\frac{Q+2-Q\gamma_0}{2}}\right) \int_{B_R} |Xu|^2 dx.
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $\rho = \frac{3}{4}R$ and applying (2.2), it obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tfrac{\lambda_0+\gamma_1-2\varepsilon-\delta\lambda_0}{|B_{3R/4}|}\int_{B_{3R/4}}|Xu|^2dx\\
&\le c_\varepsilon\Big(\tfrac{1}{|B_R|}\int_{B_R}|Xu|^{\frac{2Q}{Q+2}}dx\Big)^{\frac{Q+2}{Q}}+\tfrac{c_\varepsilon}{|B_R|}\left[\int_{B_R}\left(|g|^2+|\tilde{\tilde{g}}|^2\right)dx+\Big(\int_{B_R}|\tilde{g}|^{2q_0}dx\Big)^{\frac{1}{q_0}}\right]\\
&\quad+\Big(\varepsilon+cR^{\frac{Q+2-Q\gamma_0}{2}}\Big)\tfrac{1}{|B_R|}\int_{B_R}|Xu|^2dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Because of $0<\delta<1$, we can choose ε and R small enough such that $\lambda_0+\gamma_1-2\varepsilon-\delta\lambda_0>0$, and $\theta_1=\frac{\varepsilon+cR^{\frac{Q+2-Q\gamma_0}{2}}}{\lambda_0+\gamma_1-2\varepsilon-\delta\lambda_0}\in(0,1)$, so

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{|B_{3R/4}|}\int_{B_{3R/4}}|Xu|^2dx&\le c\Big(\frac{1}{|B_R|}\int_{B_R}|Xu|^{\frac{2Q}{Q+2}}dx\Big)^{\frac{Q+2}{Q}}+\frac{\theta_1}{|B_R|}\int_{B_R}|Xu|^2dx\\
&\quad+\frac{c}{|B_R|}\left[\int_{B_R}\left(|g|^2+|\tilde{\tilde{g}}|^2\right)dx+\Big(\int_{B_R}|\tilde{g}|^{2q_0}dx\Big)^{\frac{1}{q_0}}\right]. \quad (3.5)
\end{aligned}$$

Step 2. Setting

$$\hat{q}=\frac{Q+2}{Q}=\frac{1}{q_0},\hat{g}=|Xu|^{\frac{2Q}{Q+2}}=|Xu|^{2q_0}$$

and

$$\hat{f}=\Big(\int_{B_R}|\tilde{g}|^{2q_0}dx\Big)^{1-q_0}|\tilde{g}|^{2q_0{}^2}+\left(|g|^2+|\tilde{\tilde{g}}|^2\right)^{q_0},$$

(3.5) can be written as

$$\frac{1}{|B_{3R/4}|}\int_{B_{3R/4}}\hat{g}^{\hat{q}}dx\le c\Big(\frac{1}{|B_R|}\int_{B_R}\hat{g}dx\Big)^{\hat{q}}+\frac{\theta_1}{|B_R|}\int_{B_R}\hat{g}^{\hat{q}}dx+\frac{c}{|B_R|}\int_{B_R}\hat{f}^{\hat{q}}dx.$$

By Lemma 3.1, we have $\hat{g}\in L^r_{loc}$, for any $r\in[\hat{q},\hat{q}+\varepsilon_0)$ and $B_{2R}\subset\subset\Omega$,

$$\Big(\frac{1}{|B_R|}\int_{B_R}\hat{g}^rdx\Big)^{\frac{1}{r}}\le c\left[\Big(\frac{1}{|B_{2R}|}\int_{B_{2R}}\hat{g}^{\hat{q}}dx\Big)^{\frac{1}{\hat{q}}}+\Big(\frac{1}{|B_{2R}|}\int_{B_{2R}}\hat{f}^rdx\Big)^{\frac{1}{r}}\right],$$

namely,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{|B_R|}\int_{B_R}|Xu|^{2q_0r}dx\le c\Big(\frac{1}{|B_{2R}|}\int_{B_{2R}}|Xu|^2dx\Big)^{q_0r}\\
&+\frac{c}{|B_{2R}|}\Big(\int_{B_R}|\tilde{g}|^{2q_0}dx\Big)^{(1-q_0)r}\int_{B_{2R}}|\tilde{g}|^{2q_0{}^2r}dx+\frac{c}{|B_{2R}|}\int_{B_{2R}}\left(|g|^2+|\tilde{\tilde{g}}|^2\right)^{q_0r}dx. \quad (3.6)
\end{aligned}$$

Denote $p = 2q_0 r$, then $p \in \left[2, 2+\frac{2Q}{Q+2}\varepsilon_0\right)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{|B_R|}\int_{B_R}|Xu|^p\,dx\\
&\le c\left(\frac{1}{|B_{2R}|}\int_{B_{2R}}|Xu|^2\,dx\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}+\frac{c}{|B_{2R}|}\left(\int_{B_R}|\tilde{g}|^{2q_0}\,dx\right)^{\frac{1-q_0}{2q_0}p}\int_{B_{2R}}|\tilde{g}|^{pq_0}\,dx\\
&\quad+\frac{c}{|B_{2R}|}\int_{B_{2R}}\left(|g|^2+\left|\tilde{\tilde{g}}\right|^2\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}dx\\
&\le c\left(\frac{1}{|B_{2R}|}\int_{B_{2R}}|Xu|^2\,dx\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}+\frac{c}{|B_{2R}|}|B_{2R}|^{\frac{(p-2)(1-q_0)}{2q_0}}\left(\int_{B_{2R}}|\tilde{g}|^{pq_0}\,dx\right)^{\frac{1-q_0}{q_0}}\int_{B_{2R}}|\tilde{g}|^{pq_0}\,dx\\
&\quad+\frac{c}{|B_{2R}|}\int_{B_{2R}}\left(|g|^p+\left|\tilde{\tilde{g}}\right|^p\right)dx\\
&\le c\left[\left(\frac{1}{|B_{2R}|}\int_{B_{2R}}|Xu|^2\,dx\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}+R^p\left(\frac{1}{|B_{2R}|}\int_{B_{2R}}|\tilde{g}|^{pq_0}\,dx\right)^{\frac{1}{q_0}}\right]\\
&\quad+\frac{c}{|B_{2R}|}\int_{B_{2R}}\left(|g|^p+\left|\tilde{\tilde{g}}\right|^p\right)dx.
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence (3.1) is proved.

Corollary 3.3 Let $u \in W_X^{1,2}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^N)$ be a weak solution to the homogeneous degenerate elliptic system (1.2). Then for any $p \in \left[2, 2+\frac{2Q}{Q+2}\varepsilon_0\right)$ and $B_{2R} \subset\subset \Omega$, it follows

$$
\left(\frac{1}{|B_R|}\int_{B_R}|Xu|^p dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \le c\left(\frac{1}{|B_{2R}|}\int_{B_{2R}}|Xu|^2 dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{3.7}
$$

Proof of theorem 1.1: Multiplying both sides of (1.1) by the test function $u-u_{B_{2R}}$ and integrating on B_{2R}, we have

$$
-\int_{B_{2R}} a_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x,u)X_\beta u^j X_\alpha(u^i-u_{B_{2R}})dx = \int_{B_{2R}}\left(g_i(u^i-u_{B_{2R}})-f_i^\alpha X_\alpha(u^i-u_{B_{2R}})\right)dx
$$

or

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\textstyle\int_{B_{2R}} A^{\alpha\beta}\delta_{ij}X_\beta u^j X_\alpha u^i dx+\int_{B_{2R}} f_i^\alpha X_\alpha u^i dx\\
&=\textstyle\int_{B_R} B_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}X_\beta u^j X_\alpha u^i dx+\int_{B_{2R}} g_i\left(u^i-u_{B_{2R}}\right)dx.
\end{aligned}
$$

By (H1), (H2) and (3.3), it gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\lambda_0\textstyle\int_{B_{2R}}|Xu|^2dx+\gamma_1\int_{B_{2R}}|Xu|^2dx-\int_{B_{2R}}|g|^2dx\\
&\le \delta\lambda_0\textstyle\int_{B_R}|Xu|^2dx+c\int_{B_{2R}}|g_i|\,|u^i-u_{2R}|\,dx\\
&\le c_\varepsilon\Big(\textstyle\int_{B_{2R}}|\tilde{g}|^{2q_0}dx\Big)^{\frac{1}{q_0}}+\Big(\delta\lambda_0+\varepsilon+cR^{\frac{Q+2-Q\gamma_0}{2}}\Big)\int_{B_{2R}}|Xu|^2dx\\
&\triangleq c_\varepsilon\Big(\textstyle\int_{B_{2R}}|\tilde{g}|^{2q_0}dx\Big)^{\frac{1}{q_0}}+\theta_2\int_{B_{2R}}|Xu|^2dx,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\theta_2 = \delta\lambda_0 + \varepsilon + cR^{\frac{Q+2-Q\gamma_0}{2}}$. Then

$$(\lambda_0 + \gamma_1 - \theta_2)\int_{B_{2R}} |Xu|^2 dx \le c_\varepsilon\Big(\int_{B_{2R}} |\tilde{g}|^{2q_0} dx\Big)^{\frac{1}{q_0}} + \int_{B_{2R}} |g|^2 dx.$$

Since $\gamma_0 \in \Big[1, \frac{Q+2}{Q}\Big)$, $0 < \delta < 1$, we can choose ε and R small enough such that $\lambda_0 + \gamma_1 - \theta_2 > 0$, and derive

$$\int_{B_{2R}} |Xu|^2 dx \le c\Big(\int_{B_{2R}} |\tilde{g}|^{2q_0} dx\Big)^{\frac{1}{q_0}} + c\int_{B_{2R}} |g|^2 dx. \tag{3.8}$$

It shows from Lemma 3.2 that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big(\tfrac{1}{|B_R|}\textstyle\int_{B_R} |Xu|^p dx\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\le c\Big(\tfrac{1}{|B_{2R}|}\Big(\textstyle\int_{B_{2R}} |\tilde{g}|^{2q_0} dx\Big)^{\frac{1}{q_0}} + \tfrac{1}{|B_{2R}|}\textstyle\int_{B_{2R}} |g|^2 dx\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\quad + c\Big(\tfrac{1}{|B_{2R}|}\textstyle\int_{B_{2R}} \Big(|g|^p + \big|\tilde{\tilde{g}}\big|^p\Big) dx\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}} + R\Big(\tfrac{1}{|B_{2R}|}\textstyle\int_{B_{2R}} |\tilde{g}|^{pq_0} dx\Big)^{\frac{1}{pq_0}} \\
&\le c\left[R\Big(\tfrac{1}{|B_{2R}|}\textstyle\int_{B_{2R}} |\tilde{g}|^{pq_0} dx\Big)^{\frac{1}{pq_0}} + \Big(\tfrac{1}{|B_{2R}|}\textstyle\int_{B_{2R}} |g|^p dx\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right] \\
&\quad + c\left[\Big(\tfrac{1}{|B_{2R}|}\textstyle\int_{B_{2R}} \Big(|g|^p + \big|\tilde{\tilde{g}}\big|^p\Big) dx\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}} + R\Big(\tfrac{1}{|B_{2R}|}\textstyle\int_{B_{2R}} |\tilde{g}|^{pq_0} dx\Big)^{\frac{1}{pq_0}}\right] \\
&\le c\left[\Big(\tfrac{1}{|B_{2R}|}\textstyle\int_{B_{2R}} \Big(|g|^p + \big|\tilde{\tilde{g}}\big|^p\Big) dx\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}} + R\Big(\tfrac{1}{|B_{2R}|}\textstyle\int_{B_{2R}} |\tilde{g}|^{pq_0} dx\Big)^{\frac{1}{pq_0}}\right].
\end{aligned}$$

So we conclude by (2.1) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B_R} |Xu|^p dx \\
&\le c\int_{B_{2R}} \Big(|g|^p + \big|\tilde{\tilde{g}}\big|^p\Big) dx + cR^p\,|B_R|\left(\frac{1}{|B_{2R}|}\int_{B_{2R}} |\tilde{g}|^{pq_0} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{q_0}} \\
&\le c\int_{B_{2R}} \Big(|g|^p + \big|\tilde{\tilde{g}}\big|^p\Big) dx + cR^{p-2}\Big(\int_{B_{2R}} |\tilde{g}|^{pq_0} dx\Big)^{\frac{1}{q_0}} \\
&\le cR^\lambda\left(\|g\|^p_{L^{p,\lambda}_X} + \big\|\tilde{\tilde{g}}\big\|^p_{L^{p,\lambda}_X}\right) + cR^{p+\lambda-2}\,\|\tilde{g}\|^p_{L^{pq_0,\lambda q_0}_X} \\
&\le cR^\lambda\left(\|g\|^p_{L^{p,\lambda}_X} + \big\|\tilde{\tilde{g}}\big\|^p_{L^{p,\lambda}_X} + \|\tilde{g}\|^p_{L^{pq_0,\lambda q_0}_X}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

It attains the assertion.

Corollary 3.4 If (H2) in Theorem 1.1 is replaced by (H3), then the result of Theorem 1.1 still holds.

Proof: Since $u \in W^{1,2}_X(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ is a weak solution to (1.1), we see that u is also a weak solution to the following system

$$-X^*_\alpha(a^{\alpha\beta}_{ij}(x,u)X_\beta u^j) = g_i(x,u,Xu) - X^*_\alpha\left(f^\alpha_i(x,u,Xu) - (f^\alpha_i)_{B_R}\right).$$

As in the proof of Lemma 3.2, it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\int_{B_R} A^{\alpha\beta}\delta_{ij}\eta^2 X_\beta u^j X_\alpha u^i dx + \int_{B_R} \eta^2 f_i^\alpha X_\alpha u^i dx \\
&= \int_{B_R} B_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}\eta^2 X_\beta u^j X_\alpha u^i dx + \int_{B_R} 2a_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x,u)\eta(u^i - u_{B_R}) X_\beta u^j X_\alpha \eta dx \\
&\quad + \int_{B_R} \left(g_i(u^i - u_{B_R})\eta^2 - 2\eta(u^i - u_{B_R})\left(f_i^\alpha - (f_i^\alpha)_{B_R}\right) X_\alpha \eta\right) dx. \qquad (3.10)
\end{aligned}$$

Noting (H3), it implies

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B_R} \left|f_i^\alpha - (f_i^\alpha)_{B_R}\right|^2 dx \le c\int_{B_R}\left|f_i^\alpha - \frac{1}{|B_R|}\int_{B_R} f_i^\alpha dy\right|^2 dx \\
&\le c\int_{B_R}\left|\frac{1}{|B_R|}\int_{B_R}\left(f_i^\alpha(x,u(x),Xu(x)) - f_i^\alpha(y,u(y),Xu(y))\right)dy\right|^2 dx \\
&\le c\frac{1}{|B_R|}\int_{B_R}\left(\int_{B_R}\left|f_i^\alpha(x,u(x),Xu(x)) - f_i^\alpha(y,u(y),Xu(y))\right|^2 dy\right) dx \\
&\le c\frac{1}{|B_R|}\int_{B_R}\left(\int_{B_R}|g_i^\alpha(x) - g_i^\alpha(y)|^2 dy + \int_{B_R}|Xu(x) - Xu(y)|^2 dy\right) dx \\
&\le c\int_{B_R}\left|\tilde{\tilde{g}} - \left(\tilde{\tilde{g}}\right)_{B_R}\right|^2 dx + c\int_{B_R}\left|Xu - (Xu)_{B_R}\right|^2 dx \qquad (3.11)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\textstyle\int_{B_R}\eta\left|u^i - u_{B_R}\right|\left|f_i^\alpha - (f_i^\alpha)_{B_R}\right|\left|X_\alpha\eta\right| dx \\
&\le c_\varepsilon\textstyle\int_{B_R}|u - u_{B_R}|^2|X\eta|^2 dx + \varepsilon\int_{B_R}\eta^2\left|f_i^\alpha - (f_i^\alpha)_{B_R}\right|^2 dx \\
&\le c_\varepsilon\textstyle\int_{B_R}|u - u_{B_R}|^2|X\eta|^2 dx + \varepsilon\int_{B_R}\left|\tilde{\tilde{g}} - \left(\tilde{\tilde{g}}\right)_{B_R}\right|^2 dx + \varepsilon\int_{B_R}\left|Xu - (Xu)_{B_R}\right|^2 dx \\
&\le c_\varepsilon\textstyle\int_{B_R}|u - u_{B_R}|^2|X\eta|^2 dx + \varepsilon\int_{B_R}\left|\tilde{\tilde{g}}\right|^2 dx + \varepsilon\int_{B_R}|Xu|^2 dx,
\end{aligned}$$

which indicates that (3.4) still holds. Now we follow the proofs of Lemma 3.2 and Theorem 1.1 to reach the result.

4 Homogeneous degenerate elliptic system

An estimate of gradient of weak solutions to (1.2) is given in Corollary 3.3. In this section, we continue to study (1.2) and establish some other useful estimates. The main results in this section are Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.2.

Denote

$$A = \left(A^{\alpha\beta}(x)\right)_{B_R} = \frac{1}{|B_R|}\int_{B_R} A^{\alpha\beta}(x)dx = S^2 = SS',$$

where S is a positive symmetric matrix, and denote $S(B_R) = \{Sx : x \in B_R\}$.

Given a weak solution u to (1.2), let $u = v + w$, here $v \in W_X^{1,2}\left(S(B_R), \mathbb{R}^N\right)$ is a weak solution to the following constant coefficients diagonal homogeneous system

$$\begin{cases} -X_\alpha^*\left(\left(A^{\alpha\beta}(x)\right)_{B_R}\delta_{ij}X_\beta v^j\right) = 0, \\ v - u \in W_{X,0}^{1,2}\left(S(B_R), \mathbb{R}^N\right), \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

and $w \in W_{X,0}^{1,2}\left(S(B_R), \mathbb{R}^N\right)$ satisfies the following constant coefficients diagonal nonhomogeneous system

$$\begin{aligned} &-X_\alpha^*\left(\left(A^{\alpha\beta}(x)\right)_{B_R}\delta_{ij}X_\beta w^j\right) \\ &= -X_\alpha^*\left[\left(\left(A^{\alpha\beta}(x)\right)_{B_R} - A^{\alpha\beta}(x)\right)\delta_{ij}X_\beta u^j\right] + X_\alpha^*\left(B_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}X_\beta u^j\right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

We start by recalling a lemma in [26].

Lemma 4.1 Let $v_0 \in C^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$, $B_R \subset\subset \Omega$, $k > \frac{Q}{2}$. Then there exist positive constants R_0 and c such that for any $R \le R_0$,

$$\sup_{x\in B_{R/4}} |v_0| \le c\,|B_R|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{|I|\leqslant k} R^{|I|}\,\|X_I v_0\|_{L^2(B_R)}. \tag{4.3}$$

Lemma 4.2 Let $v \in W_X^{1,2}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ be a weak solution to (4.1). Then $v \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ and it follows that for any positive integer k and $S(B_R) \subset\subset \Omega$,

$$\sum_{|I|\leqslant k}\int_{S\left(B_{R/2^k}\right)} |SX_I v|^2 dx \le \frac{c}{R^{2k}}\int_{S(B_R)} |Sv|^2 dx. \tag{4.4}$$

Proof: Since $A = \left(A^{\alpha\beta}(x)\right)_R = S^2$, it sees that (4.1) can be rewrite as

$$-X_\alpha^*\left(\delta_{ij}SX_\beta\left(Sv^j\right)\right) = 0.$$

By [26], we know that assertions hold.

Lemma 4.3 Let $v \in W_X^{1,2}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ be a weak solution to (4.1). Then for any $0 < \rho < R$, $S(B_R) \subset\subset \Omega$,

$$\int_{S(B_\rho)} |SXv|^2 dx \le c\left(\frac{\rho}{R}\right)^Q \int_{S(B_R)} |SXv|^2 dx. \tag{4.5}$$

Proof: Since $u_0(y) = v(Sy)$ satisfies $-X_\alpha^*\left(X_\beta u_0^j\right) = 0$ in B_R, we have by [9] that

$$\int_{B_\rho} |Xu_0|^2 dy \le c\left(\frac{\rho}{R}\right)^Q \int_{B_R} |Xu_0|^2 dy.$$

By the transformation $x = Sy$, it finishes the proof of (4.5).

Theorem 4.1 Let $u \in W_X^{1,2}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ be a weak solution to (1.2) with coefficients $a_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}$ satisfying (H1). For any $p \in \left[2, 2+\frac{2Q}{Q+2}\varepsilon_0\right)$, $\frac{(p-2)Q}{p} < \mu_1 < Q$, $0 < \rho < R$, $S(B_R) \subset\subset \Omega$, we have

$$\int_{S(B_\rho)} |SXu|^p dx \le c\left(\frac{\rho}{R}\right)^{\frac{2Q-p(Q-\mu_1)}{2}} \int_{S(B_R)} |SXu|^p dx. \tag{4.6}$$

Proof: If $\frac{R}{2} \le \rho < R$, then the conclusion is evident. In the sequel it only needs to treat the case $0 < \rho < \frac{R}{2}$.

First, multiplying both sides in (4.2) by w and integrating on $S(B_R)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{S(B_R)} \left(A^{\alpha\beta}(x)\right)_{B_R} \delta_{ij} X_\beta w^j X_\alpha w^i dx \\
=&\int_{S(B_R)} \left(\left(A^{\alpha\beta}(x)\right)_{B_R} - A^{\alpha\beta}(x)\right) \delta_{ij} X_\beta u^j X_\alpha w^i dx \\
&- \int_{S(B_R)} B_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} X_\beta u^j X_\alpha w^i dx.
\end{aligned}$$

From (H1), Young's inequality and Hölder's inequality , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{S(B_R)} |SXw|^2 dx \\
\le& \frac{c_\varepsilon}{\lambda_0}\lambda_0 \int_{S(B_R)} \left|\left(A^{\alpha\beta}(x)\right)_{B_R} - A^{\alpha\beta}(x)\right|^2 |Xu|^2 dx + \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda_0}\lambda_0 \int_{S(B_R)} |Xw|^2 dx \\
&+\delta\lambda_0 \int_{S(B_R)} |Xu|\,|Xw|\, dx \\
\le& \frac{c_\varepsilon}{\lambda_0} S^2 \int_{S(B_R)} \left|\left(A^{\alpha\beta}(x)\right)_{B_R} - \left(A^{\alpha\beta}(x)\right)_{S(B_R)} + \left(A^{\alpha\beta}(x)\right)_{S(B_R)} - A^{\alpha\beta}(x)\right|^2 |Xu|^2 dx \\
&+\frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda_0} \int_{S(B_R)} |SXw|^2 dx + S^2\delta \int_{S(B_R)} |Xu|\,|Xw|\, dx \\
\le& \frac{c_\varepsilon}{\lambda_0} \int_{S(B_R)} \left|\left(A^{\alpha\beta}(x)\right)_{S(B_R)} - A^{\alpha\beta}(x)\right|^2 |SXu|^2 dx + \frac{c_\varepsilon}{\lambda_0} \int_{S(B_R)} |SXu|^2 dx \\
&+\frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda_0} \int_{S(B_R)} |SXw|^2 dx + \delta \int_{S(B_R)} |SXu|\,|SXw|\, dx \\
\le& \frac{c_\varepsilon}{\lambda_0} \left(\int_{S(B_R)} \left|\left(A^{\alpha\beta}(x)\right)_{S(B_R)} - A^{\alpha\beta}(x)\right|^{\frac{2p}{p-2}} dx\right)^{\frac{p-2}{p}} \left(\int_{S(B_R)} |SXu|^p dx\right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \\
&+\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda_0} + \varepsilon\right) \int_{S(B_R)} |SXw|^2 dx + \left(\frac{c_\varepsilon}{\lambda_0} + c_\varepsilon\right) \int_{S(B_R)} |SXu|^2 dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

Noting

$$\left(\int_{S(B_R)} \left|\left(A^{\alpha\beta}\right)_{S(B_R)} - A^{\alpha\beta}\right|^{\frac{2p}{p-2}} dx\right)^{\frac{p-2}{p}} \le c\,|S(B_R)|^{\frac{p-2}{p}} \left(\eta_{S(B_R)}\left(A^{\alpha\beta}\right)\right)^{\frac{p-2}{p}},$$

it obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{S(B_R)} |SXw|^2 dx \\
&\le \tfrac{c_\varepsilon}{\lambda_0} |S(B_R)|^{\frac{p-2}{p}} \left(\eta_{S(B_R)}\left(A^{\alpha\beta}\right)\right)^{\frac{p-2}{p}} \left(\int_{S(B_R)} |SXu|^p dx\right)^{\frac{2}{p}} + \left(\tfrac{\varepsilon}{\lambda_0} + \varepsilon\right) \int_{S(B_R)} |SXw|^2 dx \\
&\quad + \left(\tfrac{c_\varepsilon}{\lambda_0} + c_\varepsilon\right) |S(B_R)|^{\frac{p-2}{p}} \left(\int_{S(B_R)} |SXu|^p dx\right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \\
&\le c_\varepsilon |S(B_R)|^{\frac{p-2}{p}} \left[\left(\eta_{S(B_R)}\left(A^{\alpha\beta}\right)\right)^{\frac{p-2}{p}} + c_\varepsilon\right] \left(\int_{S(B_R)} |SXu|^p dx\right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \\
&\quad + \left(\tfrac{\varepsilon}{\lambda_0} + \varepsilon\right) \int_{S(B_R)} |SXw|^2 dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Choosing ε small enough such that $1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda_0} - \varepsilon > 0$, it follows

$$\int_{S(B_R)} |SXw|^2 \, dx \le c \, |S(B_R)| \left[\left(\eta_{S(B_R)}\left(A^{\alpha\beta}\right)\right)^{\frac{p-2}{p}} + c\right] \left(\frac{1}{|S(B_R)|} \int_{S(B_R)} |SXu|^p dx\right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \tag{4.8}$$

Next by $u = v + w$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{S(B_{2\rho})} |SXu|^2 \, dx \le 2 \int_{S(B_{2\rho})} |SXv|^2 \, dx + 2 \int_{S(B_{2\rho})} |SXw|^2 \, dx \\
&\le c \left(\frac{\rho}{R}\right)^Q \int_{S(B_R)} |SXu|^2 \, dx + c \int_{S(B_R)} |SXw|^2 \, dx \\
&\le c \left(\frac{\rho}{R}\right)^Q |S(B_R)| \left(\frac{1}{|S(B_R)|} \int_{S(B_R)} |SXu|^p \, dx\right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \\
&\quad + c \, |S(B_R)| \left[\left(\eta_{S(B_R)}\left(A^{\alpha\beta}\right)\right)^{\frac{p-2}{p}} + c\right] \left(\frac{1}{|S(B_R)|} \int_{S(B_R)} |SXu|^p dx\right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \\
&\le c \, |S(B_R)| \left(\left(\frac{\rho}{R}\right)^Q + \left(\eta_{S(B_R)}\left(A^{\alpha\beta}\right)\right)^{\frac{p-2}{p}} + c\right) \left(\frac{1}{|S(B_R)|} \int_{S(B_R)} |SXu|^p dx\right)^{\frac{2}{p}}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

Using (3.7), we have

$$\left(\frac{1}{|S(B_\rho)|} \int_{S(B_\rho)} |SXu|^p \, dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \le c \left(\frac{1}{|S(B_{2\rho})|} \int_{S(B_{2\rho})} |Xu|^2 \, dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Inserting (4.9) into the above, it gets

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{S(B_\rho)} |SXu|^p \, dx \\
&\le c \left(\left(\frac{\rho}{R}\right)^Q + \left(\eta_{S(B_R)}\left(A^{\alpha\beta}\right)\right)^{\frac{p-2}{p}} + c\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \left(\frac{|S(B_\rho)|}{|S(B_R)|}\right)^{\frac{2-p}{2}} \int_{S(B_R)} |SXu|^p \, dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(|S(B_\rho)|^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \int_{S(B_\rho)} |SXu|^p \, dx\right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \\
&\le c \left(\left(\frac{\rho}{R}\right)^Q + \left(\eta_{S(B_R)}\left(A^{\alpha\beta}\right)\right)^{\frac{p-2}{p}} + c\right) \left(|S(B_R)|^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \int_{S(B_R)} |SXu|^p \, dx\right)^{\frac{2}{p}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, let

$$H(\rho)=\left(|S(B_\rho)|^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\int_{S(B_\rho)}|SXu|^p\,dx\right)^{\frac{2}{p}},$$

$$H(R)=\left(|S(B_R)|^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\int_{S(B_R)}|SXu|^p\,dx\right)^{\frac{2}{p}},$$

$$a=Q,\quad B=0.$$

For any μ_1, $\frac{(p-2)Q}{p}<\mu_1<Q$, let $b=\mu_1$, then $a>b$. Now we apply Lemma 2.8 to reach

$$\left(|S(B_\rho)|^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\int_{S(B_\rho)}|SXu|^p\,dx\right)^{\frac{2}{p}}\le c\left(\frac{\rho}{R}\right)^{\mu_1}\left(|S(B_R)|^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\int_{S(B_R)}|SXu|^p\,dx\right)^{\frac{2}{p}}$$

and (4.6) is proved.

Lemma 4.4 Let $w\in W^{1,2}_{X,0}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^N)$ be a weak solution to (4.2), with the coefficients $a_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}$ satisfying (H1). Then for any $p\in\left[2,2+\frac{2Q}{Q+2}\varepsilon_0\right)$, $0<\rho<R$, $S(B_R)\subset\subset\Omega$,

$$\int_{S(B_R)}|SXw|^p dx\le c\int_{S(B_{2R})}\left|SXu-(SXu)_{S(B_{2R})}\right|^p dx. \tag{4.10}$$

Proof: Clearly, w is also a weak solution to the following system

$$-X^*_\alpha\left(\left(A^{\alpha\beta}(x)\right)_{B_R}\delta_{ij}X_\beta w^j\right)=-X^*_\alpha\left[\left(A^{\alpha\beta}(x)\right)_{B_R}\delta_{ij}\left(X_\beta u^j-\left(X_\beta u^j\right)_{B_{2R}}\right)\right]. \tag{4.11}$$

Take the cut-off function $\eta\in C_0^\infty(B_R)$ as in the proof of Lemma 3.2. Multiplying both sides of (4.11) by $\varphi=(w-w_{B_R})\eta^2$ and integrating on $S(B_R)$, it gets

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{S(B_R)}\left(A^{\alpha\beta}(x)\right)_{B_R}\delta_{ij}X_\beta w^jX_\alpha\left((w^i-w_{B_R})\eta^2\right)dx\\&=\int_{S(B_R)}\left(A^{\alpha\beta}(x)\right)_{B_R}\delta_{ij}\left(X_\beta u^j-\left(X_\beta u^j\right)_{B_{2R}}\right)X_\alpha\left((w^i-w_{B_R})\eta^2\right)dx,\end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{S(B_R)}\left(A^{\alpha\beta}(x)\right)_{B_R}\delta_{ij}\eta^2X_\alpha w^iX_\beta w^i dx\\&=-\int_{S(B_R)}2\left(A^{\alpha\beta}(x)\right)_{B_R}\delta_{ij}\eta(w^i-w_{B_R})X_\alpha\eta X_\beta w^j dx\\&\quad+\int_{S(B_R)}\left(A^{\alpha\beta}(x)\right)_{B_R}\delta_{ij}\eta^2X_\alpha w^i\left(X_\beta u^j-\left(X_\beta u^j\right)_{B_{2R}}\right)dx\\&\quad+\int_{S(B_R)}2\left(A^{\alpha\beta}(x)\right)_{B_R}\delta_{ij}\eta(w^i-w_{B_R})X_\alpha\eta\left(X_\beta u^j-\left(X_\beta u^j\right)_{B_{2R}}\right)dx.\end{aligned}$$

It yields by (H1) and Young's inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}&\textstyle\int_{S(B_R)}\eta^2|SXw|^2dx\le c_\varepsilon\int_{S(B_R)}|Sw-Sw_{B_R}|^2|X\eta|^2dx\\&\qquad+c_\varepsilon\textstyle\int_{S(B_R)}\eta^2\left|SXu-S(Xu)_{B_{2R}}\right|^2dx+2\varepsilon\int_{S(B_R)}\eta^2|SXw|^2dx.\end{aligned}$$

From properties of η and $Sw_{B_R} = (Sw)_{S(B_R)}$, we have

$$\int_{S(B_\rho)} |SXw|^2 dx \le \tfrac{c_\varepsilon}{(R-\rho)^2} \int_{S(B_R)} \left|Sw - (Sw)_{S(B_R)}\right|^2 dx + c_\varepsilon \int_{S(B_R)} \left|SXu - (SXu)_{S(B_{2R})}\right|^2 dx + 2\varepsilon \int_{S(B_R)} |SXw|^2 dx.$$

Letting $\rho = \frac{3}{4}R$ and using (2.2), it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{S(B_{3R/4})} |SXw|^2 dx \\
&\le \frac{c_\varepsilon}{R^2} \int_{S(B_R)} \left|Sw - (Sw)_{S(B_R)}\right|^2 dx + c_\varepsilon \int_{S(B_R)} \left|SXu - (SXu)_{S(B_{2R})}\right|^2 dx \\
&\quad + 2\varepsilon \int_{S(B_R)} |SXw|^2 \, dx \\
&\le c_\varepsilon \, |S(B_R)| \left(\frac{1}{|S(B_R)|} \int_{S(B_R)} |SXw|^{\frac{2Q}{Q+2}} dx \right)^{\frac{Q+2}{Q}} \\
&\quad + c_\varepsilon \int_{S(B_R)} \left|SXu - (SXu)_{S(B_{2R})}\right|^2 dx + 2\varepsilon \int_{S(B_R)} |SXw|^2 \, dx. \qquad (4.12)
\end{aligned}$$

Drawing notations $\hat{g} = |SXw|^{\frac{2Q}{Q+2}}$, $\hat{q} = \frac{Q+2}{Q}$ and $\hat{f} = \left|SXu - (SXu)_{S(B_{2R})}\right|^{\frac{2Q}{Q+2}}$, the inequality (4.12) is of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{\left|S(B_{3R/4})\right|} \int_{S(B_{3R/4})} \hat{g}^{\hat{q}} dx \\
&\le c \left(\frac{1}{|S(B_R)|} \int_{S(B_R)} \hat{g} dx \right)^{\hat{q}} + \frac{c}{|S(B_R)|} \int_{S(B_R)} \hat{f}^{\hat{q}} dx + \frac{2\varepsilon}{|S(B_R)|} \int_{S(B_R)} \hat{g}^{\hat{q}} dx. \qquad (4.13)
\end{aligned}$$

We know by choosing ε small enough such that $2\varepsilon < 1$ and employing Lemma 3.1 that $\hat{g} \in L^r_{loc}$, $r \in [\hat{q}, \hat{q} + \varepsilon_0)$, and for any $S(B_{2R}) \subset\subset \Omega$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{1}{|S(B_R)|} \int_{S(B_R)} |SXw|^{\frac{2Qr}{Q+2}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} &\le c \left(\frac{1}{|S(B_{2R})|} \int_{S(B_{2R})} |SXw|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{Q}{Q+2}} \\
&\quad + c \left(\frac{1}{|S(B_{2R})|} \int_{S(B_{2R})} \left|SXu - (SXu)_{S(B_{2R})}\right|^{\frac{2Qr}{Q+2}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}. \qquad (4.14)
\end{aligned}$$

Let $p = \frac{2Qr}{Q+2}$, then $p \in \left[2, 2 + \frac{2Q}{Q+2}\varepsilon_0\right)$ and we can rewrite (4.14) as

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{1}{|S(B_R)|} \int_{S(B_R)} |SXw|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} &\le c \left(\frac{1}{|S(B_{2R})|} \int_{S(B_{2R})} |SXw|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\quad + c \left(\frac{1}{|S(B_{2R})|} \int_{S(B_{2R})} \left|SXu - (SXu)_{S(B_{2R})}\right|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \qquad (4.15)
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, multiplying both sides of (4.11) by w and integrating on $S(B_{2R})$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{S(B_{2R})} \left(A^{\alpha\beta}(x)\right)_{B_R} \delta_{ij} X_\beta w^j X_\alpha w^i dx \\
&= \int_{S(B_{2R})} \left(A^{\alpha\beta}(x)\right)_{B_R} \delta_{ij} \left(X_\beta u^j - (X_\beta u^j)_{B_{2R}} \right) X_\alpha w^i dx.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows by (H1) and Young's inequality that

$$\int_{S(B_{2R})} |SXw|^2\,dx \le c_\varepsilon \int_{S(B_{2R})} \left|SXu - (SXu)_{S(B_R)}\right|^2 dx + \varepsilon \int_{S(B_{2R})} |SXw|^2\,dx.$$

For ε small enough, we see

$$\int_{S(B_{2R})} |SXw|^2\,dx \le c_\varepsilon \int_{S(B_{2R})} \left|SXu - (SXu)_{S(B_R)}\right|^2 dx.$$

Putting it into (4.15) implies

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{|S(B_R)|}\int_{S(B_R)} |SXw|^p\,dx \\
&\le c\left(\frac{1}{|S(B_{2R})|}\int_{S(B_{2R})} \left|SXu - (SXu)_{S(B_R)}\right|^2 dx\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\
&\quad + \frac{c}{|S(B_{2R})|}\int_{S(B_{2R})} \left|SXu - (SXu)_{S(B_{2R})}\right|^p dx \\
&\le \frac{c}{|S(B_{2R})|}\int_{S(B_{2R})} \left|SXu - (SXu)_{S(B_{2R})}\right|^p dx.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof of (4.10) is ended.

Lemma 4.5 Let $v \in W_X^{1,2}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ be a weak solution to (4.1). Then for any $p \in \left[2, 2 + \frac{2Q}{Q+2}\varepsilon_0\right)$, $0 < \rho < R$, $S(B_R) \subset\subset \Omega$, we have

$$\int_{S(B_\rho)} \left|SXv - (SXv)_{S(B_\rho)}\right|^p dx \le c\left(\frac{\rho}{R}\right)^{Q+p} \int_{S(B_R)} \left|SXv - (SXv)_{S(B_R)}\right|^p dx. \quad (4.16)$$

Proof: Let k be a fixed integer such that $k > \frac{Q}{2}$. If $\frac{R}{2^{k+2}} \le \rho < R$, then the conclusion is evident. If $\rho < \frac{R}{2^{k+2}}$, then Xv and X^2v are also weak solutions to (4.1), so (4.3) is true

for X^2v and (4.4) is true for Xv. Combining these and noting (2.1), it shows

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{S(B_\rho)} \left|SX^2v\right|^p dx \le |S(B_\rho)| \sup_{S\left(B_{R/2^{k+2}}\right)} \left|SX^2v\right|^p \\
&\le c\,|S(B_\rho)| \sum_{|I|\le k} \left|S\left(B_{R/2^k}\right)\right|^{-\frac{p}{2}} R^{p|I|} \left(\int_{S\left(B_{R/2^k}\right)} \left|SX_IX^2v\right|^2 dx\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\
&\le c\,|S(B_\rho)|\,|S(B_R)|^{-\frac{p}{2}} \sum_{|I|\le k} R^{p|I|} \left(R^{-2(|I|+1)} \int_{S(B_R)} |SXv|^2 dx\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\
&\le c\,|S(B_\rho)|\,|S(B_R)|^{-\frac{p}{2}} \sum_{|I|\le k} R^{p|I|} R^{-p(|I|+1)} \left(\int_{S(B_R)} |SXv|^2 dx\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\
&\le c\,|S(B_\rho)|\,|S(B_R)|^{-\frac{p}{2}}\, R^{-p}\,|S(B_R)|^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \int_{S(B_R)} |SXv|^p dx \\
&\le c\frac{|S(B_\rho)|}{|S(B_R)|} R^{-p} \int_{S(B_R)} |SXv|^p dx \\
&\le c\left(\frac{\rho}{R}\right)^Q R^{-p} \int_{S(B_R)} |SXv|^p dx. \qquad (4.17)
\end{aligned}$$

Since $Xv-(Xv)_{B_R}$ is a weak solution to (4.1), we know that (4.17) is valid for $Xv-(Xv)_{B_R}$ and then

$$\int_{S(B_\rho)} \left|SX^2v\right|^p dx \le c\left(\frac{\rho}{R}\right)^Q R^{-p} \int_{S(B_R)} \left|SXv - (SXv)_{S(B_R)}\right|^p dx. \qquad (4.18)$$

Using (2.2) and (4.18), it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
&\textstyle\int_{S(B_\rho)} \left|SXv - (SXv)_{S(B_\rho)}\right|^p dx \le c\rho^p \int_{S(B_\rho)} |SX^2v|^p dx \\
&\le c\left(\tfrac{\rho}{R}\right)^{Q+p} \textstyle\int_{S(B_R)} \left|SXv - (SXv)_{S(B_R)}\right|^p dx
\end{aligned}$$

and (4.16) is proved.

Theorem 4.2 Let $u \in W_X^{1,2}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ be a weak solution to (1.2), with the coefficients $a_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}$ satisfying (H1). Then for any $p \in \left[2, 2+\frac{2Q}{Q+2}\varepsilon_0\right)$, $0 < \mu_2 < Q+p$, $0 < \rho < R$, $S(B_R) \subset\subset \Omega$,

$$\int_{S(B_\rho)} \left|SXu - (SXu)_{S(B_\rho)}\right|^p dx \le c\left(\frac{\rho}{R}\right)^{\mu_2} \int_{S(B_R)} \left|SXu - (SXu)_{S(B_R)}\right|^p dx. \qquad (4.19)$$

Proof: Noting Lemma 4.4, Lemma 4.5 and $u = v + w$, it leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{S(B_\rho)} \left|SXu - (SXu)_{S(B_\rho)}\right|^p dx \\
&\le c\int_{S(B_\rho)} \left|SXv - (SXv)_{S(B_\rho)}\right|^p dx + c\int_{S(B_\rho)} \left|SXw - (SXw)_{S(B_\rho)}\right|^p dx \\
&\le c\left(\tfrac{\rho}{R}\right)^{Q+p}\int_{S(B_R)} \left|SXv - (SXv)_{S(B_R)}\right|^p dx + +c\int_{S(B_\rho)} |SXw|^p dx \\
&\le c\left(\tfrac{\rho}{R}\right)^{Q+p}\int_{S(B_R)} \left|SXu - (SXu)_{S(B_R)}\right|^p dx + c\int_{S(B_R)} \left|SXw - (SXw)_{S(B_R)}\right|^p dx \\
&\quad + c\int_{S(B_\rho)} |SXw|^p dx \\
&\le c\left(\tfrac{\rho}{R}\right)^{Q+p}\int_{S(B_R)} \left|SXu - (SXu)_{S(B_R)}\right|^p dx + c\int_{S(B_R)} |SXw|^p dx \\
&\le c\left(\tfrac{\rho}{R}\right)^{Q+p}\int_{S(B_R)} \left|SXu - (SXu)_{S(B_R)}\right|^p dx + c\int_{S(B_{2R})} \left|SXu - (SXu)_{S(B_{2R})}\right|^p dx \\
&\le \left(c\left(\tfrac{\rho}{R}\right)^{Q+p} + c\right) \int_{S(B_{2R})} \left|SXu - (SXu)_{S(B_{2R})}\right|^p dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we use Lemma 2.8 to obtain (4.19).

5 Proofs of Theorems 1.2, 1.3 and 1.4

In order to prove Theorems 1.2, 1.3 and 1.4, we divide (1.1) into two new systems and let $u = v + w$, where v satisfies the nondiagonal homogeneous system in $S(B_R)$

$$\begin{cases} -X_\alpha^* \left(a_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} X_\beta v^j\right) = 0, \\ v - u \in W_{X,0}^{1,2}\left(S(B_R), \mathbb{R}^N\right) \end{cases} \tag{5.1}$$

and w solves the nondiagonal nonhomogeneous system in $S(B_R)$

$$\begin{cases} -X_\alpha^* \left(a_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} X_\beta w^j\right) = g_i(x, u, Xu) - X_\alpha^* f_i^\alpha(x, u, Xu), \\ w \in W_{X,0}^{1,2}\left(S(B_R), \mathbb{R}^N\right). \end{cases} \tag{5.2}$$

Proof of Theorem 1.2: By (3.9) replacing u by w and Theorem 4.1 replacing u by v, it follows

$$\int_{S(B_R)} |SXw|^p \, dx \le cR^\lambda \left(\|Sg\|_{L_X^{p,\lambda}}^p + \left\|S\tilde{\tilde{g}}\right\|_{L_X^{p,\lambda}}^p + \|S\tilde{g}\|_{L_X^{pq_0,\lambda q_0}}^p\right),$$

$$\int_{S(B_\rho)} |SXv|^p dx \le c\left(\frac{\rho}{R}\right)^{\frac{2Q-p(Q-\mu_1)}{2}} \int_{S(B_R)} |SXv|^p dx.$$

Using $u = v + w$, it shows

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{S(B_\rho)} |SXu|^p \, dx \le c\int_{S(B_\rho)} |SXv|^p \, dx + c\int_{S(B_\rho)} |SXw|^p \, dx \\
&\le c\left(\frac{\rho}{R}\right)^{\frac{2Q-p(Q-\mu_1)}{2}} \int_{S(B_R)} |SXu|^p dx + c\int_{S(B_R)} |SXw|^p \, dx \\
&\le c\left(\frac{\rho}{R}\right)^{\frac{2Q-p(Q-\mu_1)}{2}} \int_{S(B_R)} |SXu|^p dx + cR^\lambda \left(\|Sg\|_{L_X^{p,\lambda}}^p + \left\|S\tilde{\tilde{g}}\right\|_{L_X^{p,\lambda}}^p + \|S\tilde{g}\|_{L_X^{pq_0,\lambda q_0}}^p\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Taking $H(\rho)=\int_{S(B_\rho)}|SXu|^p\,dx, H(R)=\int_{S(B_R)}|SXu|^p\,dx, a=\frac{2Q-p(Q-\mu_1)}{2}, b=\lambda, B=c\left(\|Sg\|^p_{L^{p,\lambda}_X}+\left\|S\tilde{\tilde{g}}\right\|^p_{L^{p,\lambda}_X}+\|S\tilde{g}\|^p_{L^{pq_0,\lambda q_0}_X}\right)$. Then there exists μ_1, $\frac{(p-2)Q+2\lambda}{p}<\mu_1<Q$, such that $a>b$. We have by Lemma 2.8 that

$$\int_{S(B_\rho)}|SXu|^p\,dx\le c\left(\frac{\rho}{R}\right)^\lambda\int_{S(B_R)}|SXu|^p dx+c\rho^\lambda\left(\|Sg\|^p_{L^{p,\lambda}_X}+\left\|S\tilde{\tilde{g}}\right\|^p_{L^{p,\lambda}_X}+\|S\tilde{g}\|^p_{L^{pq_0,\lambda q_0}_X}\right)$$

and $SXu\in L^{p,\lambda}_X\left(S(B_\rho),\mathbb{R}^N\right)$. Hence the result is proved.

Proof of Theorem 1.3: By Theorem 1.2, we see

$$\int_{S(B_\rho)}|SXu|^p\,dx\le c\rho^\lambda.$$

Since $Q-p<\lambda<Q$, it follows by taking $\kappa=1-\frac{Q-\lambda}{p}$ and using Lemma 2.10 that the conclusion is true.

Remark 5.1 Of course, we can also obtain Hölder regularity by the isomorphic relationship between the Campanato space $\mathcal{L}^{p,\lambda'}_X(-p<\lambda'<0)$ and the Hölder space $C^{0,\alpha}_X(\alpha=-\frac{\lambda'}{p})$ given in [8, Theorem 2.2].

Before proving Theorem 1.4, we first establish the following lemma.

Lemma 5.1 Let $w\in W^{1,2}_{X,0}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^N)$ be a weak solution to (5.2), the coefficients $a^{\alpha\beta}_{ij}$ in (5.2) satisfy (H1), $g_i(x,u,Xu)$ and $f^\alpha_i(x,u,Xu)$ satisfy (H3). Then for any $p\in\left[2,2+\frac{2Q}{Q+2}\varepsilon_0\right)$, $B_{2R}\subset\subset\Omega$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{B_R}|Xw|^p\,dx\\&\le c\int_{B_{2R}}|Xu-(Xu)_{B_{2R}}|^p dx+cR^\lambda\left(\|g\|^p_{L^{p,\lambda}_X(\Omega)}+\left\|\tilde{\tilde{g}}\right\|^p_{L^{p,\lambda}_X(\Omega)}+\|\tilde{g}\|^p_{L^{pq_0,\lambda q_0}_X(\Omega)}\right)\end{aligned}\tag{5.3}$$

Proof: Let us note that w is also a weak solution to the system

$$-X^*_\alpha\left(a^{\alpha\beta}_{ij}X_\beta w^j\right)=g_i-X^*_\alpha\left(f^\alpha_i-(f^\alpha_i)_{B_{2R}}\right).$$

Multiplying both sides of the system by w and integrating on B_{2R},

$$\begin{aligned}&-\int_{B_{2R}}A^{\alpha\beta}(x)\delta_{ij}X_\alpha w^iX_\beta w^j dx\\&=\int_{B_{2R}}B^{\alpha\beta}_{ij}X_\alpha w^iX_\beta w^j dx+\int_{B_{2R}}g_iw^i dx-\int_{B_{2R}}\left(f^\alpha_i-(f^\alpha_i)_{B_{2R}}\right)X_\alpha w^i dx.\end{aligned}\tag{5.4}$$

By (H3), (2.3), Hölder's inequality and Young's inequality, it implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B_{2R}} |g_i|\,|w^i|\,dx \le \int_{S(B_{2R})} \left(g^i + L|Xu|^{\gamma_0}\right)|w^i|\,dx \\
&\le \Big(\int_{B_{2R}} |\tilde{g}|^{\frac{2Q}{Q+2}}dx\Big)^{\frac{Q+2}{2Q}} \Big(\int_{B_{2R}} |w|^{\frac{2Q}{Q-2}}dx\Big)^{\frac{Q-2}{2Q}} \\
&\quad + c\Big(\int_{B_{2R}} |Xu|^2 dx\Big)^{\frac{\gamma_0}{2}} \Big(\int_{B_{2R}} |w|^{\frac{2}{2-\gamma_0}}dx\Big)^{\frac{2-\gamma_0}{2}} \\
&\le \Big(\int_{B_{2R}} |\tilde{g}|^{2q_0}dx\Big)^{\frac{1}{2q_0}} \Big(\int_{B_{2R}} |Xw|^2 dx\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\quad + c\Big(\int_{B_{2R}} |Xu|^2 dx\Big)^{\frac{\gamma_0}{2}} R|B_{2R}|^{\frac{2-\gamma_0}{2}} \Big(\frac{1}{|B_{2R}|}\int_{B_{2R}} |Xw|^2 dx\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\le c_\varepsilon\Big(\int_{B_{2R}} |\tilde{g}|^{2q_0}dx\Big)^{\frac{1}{q_0}} + \varepsilon\int_{B_{2R}} |Xw|^2 dx + c_\varepsilon\Big(\int_{B_{2R}} |Xu|^2 dx\Big)^{\gamma_0} \\
&\quad + \varepsilon R^{Q+2-Q\gamma_0}\int_{B_{2R}} |Xw|^2 dx.
\end{aligned}
$$

Inserting it into (5.4), and using (H1) and (3.11), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\lambda_0\int_{B_{2R}} |Xw|^2 dx \\
&\le \delta\lambda_0\int_{B_{2R}} |Xw|^2 dx + \int_{B_{2R}} |g_i|\,|w^i| dx + \int_{B_{2R}} \left|f_i^\alpha - (f_i^\alpha)_{B_{2R}}\right| |X_\alpha w^i| dx \\
&\le \delta\lambda_0\int_{B_{2R}} |Xw|^2 dx + c_\varepsilon\Big(\int_{B_{2R}} |\tilde{g}|^{2q_0}dx\Big)^{\frac{1}{q_0}} + \varepsilon\int_{B_{2R}} |Xw|^2 dx \\
&\quad + c_\varepsilon\Big(\int_{B_{2R}} |Xu|^2 dx\Big)^{\gamma_0} + \varepsilon R^{Q+2-Q\gamma_0}\int_{B_{2R}} |Xw|^2 dx \\
&\quad + c_\varepsilon\int_{B_{2R}} \left|f - (f)_{B_{2R}}\right|^2 dx + \varepsilon\int_{B_{2R}} |Xw|^2 dx \\
&\le c_\varepsilon\Big(\int_{B_{2R}} |\tilde{g}|^{2q_0}dx\Big)^{\frac{1}{q_0}} + \left(\delta\lambda_0 + 2\varepsilon + \varepsilon R^{Q+2-Q\gamma_0}\right)\int_{B_{2R}} |Xw|^2 dx \\
&\quad + c_\varepsilon\Big(\int_{B_{2R}} |Xu|^2 dx\Big)^{\gamma_0} + c_\varepsilon\int_{B_{2R}} \left|\tilde{\tilde{g}} - \left(\tilde{\tilde{g}}\right)_{B_{2R}}\right|^2 dx + c_\varepsilon\int_{B_{2R}} \left|Xu - (Xu)_{B_{2R}}\right|^2 dx \\
&\le c_\varepsilon\Big(\int_{B_{2R}} |\tilde{g}|^{2q_0}dx\Big)^{\frac{1}{q_0}} + \theta_3\int_{B_{2R}} |Xw|^2 dx + c_\varepsilon\Big(\int_{B_{2R}} |Xu|^2 dx\Big)^{\gamma_0} \\
&\quad + c_\varepsilon\int_{B_{2R}} \left|\tilde{\tilde{g}}\right|^2 dx + c_\varepsilon\int_{B_{2R}} \left|Xu - (Xu)_{B_{2R}}\right|^2 dx,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\theta_3 = \delta\lambda_0 + 2\varepsilon + \varepsilon R^{Q+2-Q\gamma_0}$. By choosing ε small enough such that $\lambda_0 - \theta_3 > 0$ and applying (3.8), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B_{2R}} |Xw|^2 dx \\
&\le c_\varepsilon\left(\int_{B_{2R}} |\tilde{g}|^{2q_0}\,dx\right)^{\frac{1}{q_0}} + c_\varepsilon\int_{B_{2R}} |Xu|^2\,dx + c_\varepsilon\int_{B_{2R}} \left|\tilde{\tilde{g}}\right|^2 dx \\
&\quad + c_\varepsilon\int_{B_{2R}} \left|Xu - (Xu)_{B_{2R}}\right|^2 dx \\
&\le c\left(\int_{B_{2R}} |\tilde{g}|^{2q_0}\,dx\right)^{\frac{1}{q_0}} + c\int_{B_{2R}} |g|^2\,dx + c\int_{B_{2R}} \left|\tilde{\tilde{g}}\right|^2 dx + c\int_{B_{2R}} \left|Xu - (Xu)_{B_{2R}}\right|^2 dx \\
&\le cR^{\frac{2\lambda}{p}}|B_{2R}|^{\frac{p-2}{pq_0}}\|\tilde{g}\|^2_{L_X^{pq_0,\lambda q_0}} + cR^{\frac{2\lambda}{p}}|B_{2R}|^{\frac{p-2}{p}}\left(\|g\|^2_{L_X^{p,\lambda}} + \left\|\tilde{\tilde{g}}\right\|^2_{L_X^{p,\lambda}}\right) \\
&\quad + c|B_{2R}|^{\frac{p-2}{p}}\left(\int_{B_{2R}} \left|Xu - (Xu)_{B_{2R}}\right|^p dx\right)^{\frac{2}{p}}. \qquad (5.5)
\end{aligned}
$$

From Lemma 3.2, it yields

$$\int_{B_R}|Xw|^p\,dx \le c\,|B_R|^{\frac{2-p}{2}}\left(\int_{B_{2R}}|Xw|^2\,dx\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} +c\int_{B_{2R}}\left(|g|^p+\left|\tilde{\tilde{g}}\right|^p\right)dx+c\,|B_R|^{\frac{q_0-1}{q_0}}R^p\left(\int_{B_{2R}}|\tilde{g}|^{pq_0}\,dx\right)^{\frac{1}{q_0}}.$$

Putting (5.5) into it and noting (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{B_R}|Xw|^p\,dx\\ &\le cR^{p+\lambda-2}\|\tilde{g}\|^p_{L_X^{pq_0,\lambda q_0}}+cR^\lambda\left(\|g\|^p_{L_X^{p,\lambda}}+\left\|\tilde{\tilde{g}}\right\|^p_{L_X^{p,\lambda}}\right)+c\int_{B_{2R}}\left|Xu-(Xu)_{B_{2R}}\right|^p dx\\ &\le cR^\lambda\left(\|\tilde{g}\|^p_{L_X^{pq_0,\lambda q_0}}+\|g\|^p_{L_X^{p,\lambda}}+\left\|\tilde{\tilde{g}}\right\|^p_{L_X^{p,\lambda}}\right)+c\int_{B_{2R}}\left|Xu-(Xu)_{B_{2R}}\right|^p dx.\end{aligned}$$

It completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 1.4: By Lemma 5.1, it follows

$$\begin{aligned}&\textstyle\int_{S(B_R)}|SXw|^p dx\\ &\le cR^\lambda\left(\|Sg\|^p_{L_X^{p,\lambda}}+\left\|S\tilde{\tilde{g}}\right\|^p_{L_X^{p,\lambda}}+\|S\tilde{g}\|^p_{L_X^{pq_0,\lambda q_0}}\right)+c\textstyle\int_{S(B_{2R})}\left|SXu-(SXu)_{S(B_{2R})}\right|^p dx.\end{aligned}$$

Using $u=v+w$, Theorem 4.2 and the above inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\textstyle\int_{S(B_\rho)}\left|SXu-(SXu)_{S(B_\rho)}\right|^p dx\\ &\le c\textstyle\int_{S(B_\rho)}\left|SXv-(SXv)_{S(B_\rho)}\right|^p dx+c\int_{S(B_\rho)}\left|SXw-(SXw)_{S(B_\rho)}\right|^p dx\\ &\le c\big(\tfrac{\rho}{R}\big)^{\mu_2}\textstyle\int_{S(B_R)}\left|SXv-(SXv)_{S(B_R)}\right|^p dx+c\int_{S(B_\rho)}|SXw|^p dx\\ &\le c\big(\tfrac{\rho}{R}\big)^{\mu_2}\textstyle\int_{S(B_R)}\left|SXu-(SXu)_{S(B_R)}\right|^p dx+c\int_{S(B_R)}|SXw|^p dx\\ &\le c\big(\tfrac{\rho}{R}\big)^{\mu_2}\textstyle\int_{S(B_{2R})}\left|SXu-(SXu)_{S(B_{2R})}\right|^p dx\\ &\quad+cR^\lambda\left(\|S\tilde{g}\|^p_{L_X^{pq_0,\lambda q_0}}+\|Sg\|^p_{L_X^{p,\lambda}}+\left\|S\tilde{\tilde{g}}\right\|^p_{L_X^{p,\lambda}}\right)+c\textstyle\int_{S(B_{2R})}\left|SXu-(SXu)_{S(B_{2R})}\right|^p dx\\ &\le\left[c\big(\tfrac{\rho}{R}\big)^{\mu_2}+c\right]\textstyle\int_{S(B_{2R})}\left|SXu-(SXu)_{S(B_{2R})}\right|^p dx\\ &\quad+cR^\lambda\left(\|S\tilde{g}\|^p_{L_X^{pq_0,\lambda q_0}}+\|Sg\|^p_{L_X^{p,\lambda}}+\left\|S\tilde{\tilde{g}}\right\|^p_{L_X^{p,\lambda}}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Set $H(\rho)=\int_{S(B_\rho)}\left|SXu-(SXu)_{S(B_\rho)}\right|^p dx, H(R)=\int_{S(B_{2R})}\left|SXu-(SXu)_{S(B_{2R})}\right|^p dx, a=\mu_2, b=\lambda, B=c\left(\|Sg\|^p_{L_X^{p,\lambda}}+\left\|S\tilde{\tilde{g}}\right\|^p_{L_X^{p,\lambda}}+\|S\tilde{g}\|^p_{L_X^{pq_0,\lambda q_0}}\right)$. Using $0<\mu_2<Q+p, 0<\lambda<Q$, it derives that there exists μ_2 such that $\mu_2>\lambda$. We have by Lemma 2.8 that

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{S(B_\rho)}\left|SXu-(SXu)_{S(B_\rho)}\right|^p dx\\ &\le c\left(\frac{\rho}{R}\right)^\lambda\int_{S(B_{2R})}\left|SXu-(SXu)_{S(B_{2R})}\right|^p dx+c\rho^\lambda\left(\|S\tilde{g}\|^p_{L_X^{pq_0,\lambda q_0}}+\|Sg\|^p_{L_X^{p,\lambda}}+\left\|S\tilde{\tilde{g}}\right\|^p_{L_X^{p,\lambda}}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$SXu \in \mathcal{L}_X^{p,\lambda}(S(B_\rho), \mathbb{R}^N)$$

and the proof is finished.

Acknowledgements This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China(Grant Nos. 11271299, 11001221); Natural Science Foundation Research Project of Shaanxi Province (Grant No. JC201124).

References

[1] S. Agmon, A. Douglis, L Nirenberg, Estimates near the boundary for solutions of elliptic partial differential equations satisfying general boundary conditions I, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. XII, (1959), 623-727.

[2] S. Campanato. Sistemi ellittici in forma divergenza, Regolarita all'interno, Quaderni, Pisa, 1980.

[3] F. Chiarenza, M. Franciosi, M. Frasca, L^p estimates for linear elliptic systems with discontinuous coefficients, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei CI. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. Rend. Lincei (9) Mat. Appl., 5, (1994), 1, 27-32.

[4] J. Daněček, Regularity for nonlinear elliptic systems of second order, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae, 27, (1986), 4, 755-764.

[5] J. Daněček, E. Viszus, $L^{2,\lambda}$-regularity for nonlinear elliptic systems of second order, In Applied Nonlinear Analysis (A. Sequeira, H. B. da Veiga, J. H. Videman, eds.), Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers, New York, (1999), 33-40.

[6] J. Daněček, E. Viszus, A note on regularity for nonlinear elliptic systems, Archivum math., 3, (2000), 229-237.

[7] J. Daněček, E. Viszus, $\mathcal{L}^{2,\phi}$ regularity for nonlinear elliptic systems of second order, EJDE, 2002.

[8] G. Di Fazio, M. Fanciullo, Gradient estimates for elliptic systems in Carnot-Carathéodory sp- aces, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae, 43, (2002), 4, 605-618.

[9] Y. Dong, P. Niu, Estimates in Morrey Spaces and Hölder Continuity for Weak Solutions to Degenerate Elliptic Systems, Manuscripta Math., 138, (2012), 419-437.

[10] A. Föglein, Partial regularity results for sub-elliptic systems in the Heisenberg group, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, 32, (2008), 25-51.

[11] D. Gao, P. Niu, J. Wang, Partial regularity for degenerate subelliptic systems associated with Hörmander's vector fields, Nonlinear Anal., 73, (2010), 3209-3223.

[12] N. Garofalo, D. M. Nhieu, Isoperimetric and Sobolev inequalities for Carnot-Carathéodory Spaces and the existence of minimal Surfaces, Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics., 49, (1996), 1081-1144.

[13] U. Gianazza, Regularity for non-linear equations involving square Hörmander operators, Nonlinear Analysis, Theory, Methods and Applications, 23, (1994), 1, 49-73.

[14] M. Giaquinta, Introduction to regularity theory for nonlinear elliptic systems, Berlin: Birkhäuser Verlag, 1993.

[15] M. Giaquinta, G. Modica, Regularity results for some classes of higher order nonlinear elliptic systems, J. f. Reine und Angew. Math., 311, (1979), 145-169.

[16] Q. Han, F.H. Lin, Elliptic Partial Differential Equations[M], Providence: Amer. Math. Soc., 1997.

[17] L. Hörmander, Hypoelliptic second order differential equations, Acta Mathematica, 119, (1967), 147-171.

[18] Q. Huang, Estimates on the Generalized Morrey spaces $L^{2,\lambda}_{\varphi}$ and BMO for linear elliptic systems, Indiana Univ. Math. J., (1996), 397-439.

[19] B. Kawohl, On Liouville-theorems, Continuity and Hölder continuity of weak solutions to some quasilinear elliptic systems, Comm. Math. Univ. Carol., 21, (1980), 679-697.

[20] G. Lu, The sharp inequality for free vector fields: an endpoint result, Revista Matematica Iberoamericana, 10, (1994), 453-466.

[21] M. Meier, Liouville theorems for nonlinear elliptic equations and systems, Manuscripta math., 29, (1979), 207-228.

[22] M. Meier, Liouville theorems for nondiagonal elliptic systems in arbitrary dimensions, Math. Z., 176, (1981), 123-133.

[23] A. Nagel, E. M. Stein, S. Wainger, Balls and metrics defined by vector fields I: Basic properties, Acta Mathematica, 155, (1985), 103-147.

[24] E. Shores, Hypoellipticity for linear degenerate elliptic systems in carnot groups and applications, e-print arXiv.org:math/0502569 (2005).

[25] M. Wiegner, Regularity theorems for nondiagonal elliptic systems, Arkiv för Matematik, 20, (1982), 1-13.

[26] C.-J. Xu, C. Zuily, Higher interior regularity for quasilinear subellliptic systems, Calc. Var., 5, (1997), 323-343.

[27] A. Zatorska-Goldstein, Very weak solutions of nonlinear subelliptic equations, An. Acad Sci. Fen., Math., 30, (2005), 407-436.

[28] S. Zheng, Z. Feng, Regularity for Quasi-linear Elliptic Systems with Discontinuous coefficients, Dynamics of PDE., 5, (2008), 87-99.

[29] Z. Zhou, A generalization of Lebesgue differential theorem and its application, Acta Mathematic Scientia., 21, (2002), 109-113.

[30] M. Zhu, P. Niu, Interior $HW^{1,p}$ estimates for divergence degenerate elliptic systems in Carnot groups, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 399, (2013), 442-458.